Immunomodulating Steroidal Glycosides from the Roots of Stephanotis mucronata

by Yiping Ye^a)^b), Xiaoyu Li^b), Hongxiang Sun^c), Fengyang Chen^b), and Yuanjian Pan*^a)

a) Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P.R. China (phone: +86-571-879-51264; fax: +86-571-879-51264; e-mail: panyuanjiang@css.zju.edu.cn)
b) Institute of Materia Medica, Zhejiang Academy of Medical Sciences, Hangzhou 310013, P.R. China
c) College of Animal Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310029, P.R. China

Guided by *in vitro* immunological tests, three immunomodulating steroidal glycosides, stemucronatosides A (1), B (2), and C (3), were isolated from the roots of *Stephanotis mucronata*. On the basis of chemical evidence and extensive spectroscopic methods including 1D and 2D NMR, their structures were determined as 12-*O*-deacetylmetaplexigenin 3-[*O*-6-deoxy-3-*O*-methyl- β -D-allopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -*O*- β -D-cymaropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-cymaropyranoside], 12-*O*-deacetylmetaplexigenin 3-[*O*- β -D-thevetopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -*O*- β -D-cymaropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $(1 \rightarrow$

Introduction. – The plants beonging to the Asclepiadaceae family are reported to be rich in pregnane and cardiac glycosides [1][2]. In recent years, the pregnanes and their glycosides have been shown to possess antitumor [3][4], antiepilepsy [5], and antifertility activities [6]. The dried roots of *Stephanotis mucronata* (Blanco) MEER. (Asclepiadaceae) are used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and rheumatic aches in Chinese folk medicine. We previously reported the isolation and structural elucidation of three pregnane glycosides, mucronatoside A and B and stephanoside E, from the stems of *S. mucronata* [7]. To obtain biological pregnane glycosides, chemical studies of the CHCl₃-soluble extract from the roots of this plant were undertaken by screening with immunological tests *in vitro*, and we obtained three novel pregnane oligoglycosides named stemucronatosides A (1), B (2), and C (3).

© 2004 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Results and Discussion. – The EtOH extract of the roots of *Stephanotis mucronata* was extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCl₃-soluble portion was subsequently separated by column chromatography (silica gel, reversed-phase silica gel, and *Sephadex HL-20*) to provide the three compounds **1–3**. Each of the isolates was subjected to detailed spectroscopic analysis to establish their chemical structures.

The 13 C-NMR and DEPT spectra (125 MHz, (D₅)pyridine) of **1** allowed the attribution of 42 C-signals to 9 Me, 9 CH₂, 17 CH, and 7 quaternary C-atoms. The 1 H- (500 MHz) and 13 C-NMR data for the aglycone moiety of **1** were similar to those of 12-O-deacetylmetaplexigenin (**4**) [8], the major difference being the absence of signals for an OH group at C(3). The only other difference in the 13 C-NMR data between **1** and **4** occurred for the atoms C(2), C(3), and C(4): C(2) and C(4) of **1** were shifted upfield by 2.8 and 4.3 ppm, respectively, and C(3) of **1** was shifted downfield by 5.9 ppm in comparison with the corresponding signals of **4**. The NMR (14 H-and 13 C-NMR, DEPT, HMQC, and HMBC) spectral data of compound **1** showed that it contained three anomeric C-signals at δ 96.0, 100.0, and 103.8, correlating with the anomeric protons at δ 5.31, 5.14, and 5.16, respectively, which indicated that there were three sugar units in compound **1**. Thus, compound **1** was believed to be a 12-O-deacetylmetaplexigenin 3-O-trioside.

Mild acid hydrolysis of **1** afforded 12-*O*-deacetylmetaplexigenin (**4**), D-cymarose (=2,6-dideoxy-3-*O*-methyl-D-ribo-hexose), and an unidentified sugar (on TLC). The HMBC and 1 H, 1 H-COSY experiment allowed the sequential assignments of the δ (C) and δ (H) for the unidentified sugar as shown in *Tables 1* and 2, starting from the anomeric proton and C-signal at δ 5.16 (d, J = 9.5 Hz) and 103.8. Those findings suggested that the unidentified sugar (detected by TLC) is 6-deoxy-3-*O*-methyl- β -D-allose (abbreviated as AllMe) on the basis of its 1 H-NMR data and 13 C-NMR assignments in agreement with those of similar compounds [9]. Further, a comparison of the chemical shifts of the anomeric protons of other compounds showed that the anomeric-proton signal of AllMe appears at lower field than δ (H) 5.00, while that of Thv (β -D-thevetose = 6-deoxy-3-*O*-methyl-D-glucose) appears at higher field than δ (H) 5.00 in (D₅)pyridine [9][10]. This confirmed the assignment of the anomeric-proton d at δ 5.16 to AllMe [10]. The anomeric proton signals due to two cymarose units were observed at δ 5.31 and 5.14 (each d, d = 9.5 Hz, 1 H) in the 1 H-NMR spectrum of **1**, which indicated that cymarose is of β -D-configuration as judged from the chemical shifts and coupling constants [11]. The chemical shifts for C(2') (δ 36.9) and C(2'') (δ 36.5) of the two cymarose units of **1** showed that both have β -D configuration [12].

As regards the sugar linkage, the following long-range correlations were observed in the HMBC spectrum: C(1') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 96.0) and H–C(3) of the aglycone (δ 3.90, m), C(1") of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 100.0) and H–C(4") of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 3.50, dd, J = 9.5, 2.5 Hz), and C(1"') of the 6-deoxy-3-O-methyl- β -D-allopyranose (δ 103.8) and H–C(4") of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 3.57, dd, J = 9.5, 2.5 Hz). Consequently, the sugar sequence was established as O-6-deoxy-3-O-methyl-D-allosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-D-cymarosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-D-cymaroside attached at C(3) of the aglycone.

¹⁾ For systematic names, see Exper. Part

Table 1. ¹³C-NMR Data ((D₅)pyridine) of Compounds 1–5. δ in ppm, J in Hz^a).

С	1	2	3	4	5
C(1)	38.6 (t)	38.4 (t)	38.9 (t)	39.0 (t)	39.0 (t)
C(2)	29.1 (t)	29.4 (t)	29.8 (t)	31.9(t)	31.8 (t)
C(3)	77.3(d)	77.2 (d)	77.6 (d)	71.4(d)	71.3 (d)
C(4)	38.9 (t)	38.8 (t)	39.2 (t)	43.2 (t)	43.1 (t)
C(5)	138.9 (s)	138.8 (s)	139.2 (s)	140.1 (s)	140.1 (s)
C(6)	119.1 (d)	119.0 (d)	119.2 (d)	118.6 (d)	118.3 (d)
C(7)	33.8 (t)	33.7 (t)	33.7(t)	34.0(t)	33.6 (t)
C(8)	73.9(s)	73.7(s)	74.2(s)	74.2 (s)	74.2 (s)
C(9)	44.5(d)	44.4(d)	44.4 (d)	44.8 (d)	44.3 (d)
C(10)	37.0(s)	36.7(s)	37.3(s)	37.2(s)	37.2(s)
C(11)	29.5(t)	28.9(t)	24.8(t)	29.3(t)	24.7(t)
C(12)	68.5(d)	68.4 (d)	73.5(d)	68.8 (d)	73.4(d)
C(13)	60.0 (s)	59.9 (s)	57.9 (s)	60.2(s)	57.7 (s)
C(14)	88.9 (s)	88.8 (s)	89.4 (s)	89.2 (s)	89.3 (s)
C(15)	34.7(t)	34.5 (t)	34.7(t)	34.9(t)	34.5 (t)
C(16)	32.4(t)	32.3 (t)	32.8(t)	32.6 (t)	32.6 (t)
C(17)	92.2 (s)	92.0 (s)	92.4 (s)	92.4 (s)	92.2 (s)
C(18)	9.0 (q)	8.9(q)	10.4 (q)	9.2(q)	10.2 (q)
C(19)	18.2 (q)	17.8 (q)	18.1 (q)	18.3 (q)	18.1 (q)
C(20)	209.2(s)	209.1 (s)	210.2(s)	209.4 (s)	210.0(s)
C(21)	27.5(q)	27.4(q)	27.6(q)	27.7(q)	27.4(q)
MeCOO-C(12)	_	_	169.9(s)	_	169.7(s)
MeCOO-C(12)	_	_	20.8(q)	_	20.6(q)
$Cym^1 C(1')$	96.0 (d)	95.8 (d)	96.4(d)		
C(2')	36.9(t)	36.4 (t)	37.2(t)		
C(3')	77.7(d)	77.6 (d)	77.9(d)		
C(4')	82.8 (d)	82.9 (d)	83.3 (d)		
C(5')	68.9 (d)	68.8 (d)	69.2 (d)		
C(6')	18.0 (q)	18.0 (q)	18.6 (q)		
MeO	58.5 (q)	58.4 (q)	58.8 (q)		
$\text{Cym}^2 \text{ C}(1'')$	100.0 (d)	99.9 (d)	100.4 (d)		
C(2")	36.5 (t)	36.8 (t)	37.0(t)		
C(3")	77.6 (d)	77.5 (d)	78.0 (d)		
C(4")	83.0 (d)	82.5 (d)	82.9 (d)		
C(5")	68.6 (d)	68.4 (d)	69.0 (d)		
C(6")	18.3 (q)	18.0 (q)	18.5 (q)		
MeO	58.4 (q)	58.3 (q)	58.9 (q)		
Carb ³	AllMe	Thv	AllMe		
C(1"')	103.8 (d)	105.7 (d)	104.8 (d)		
C(2"')	74.0 (d)	75.3 (d)	74.7 (d)		
C(3''')	83.6 (d)	87.3 (d)	85.8 (d)		
C(4"')	72.7 (d)	74.6 (d)	83.1 (<i>d</i>)		
C(5''')	70.3 (d)	72.2 (d)	71.8 (d)		
C(6''')	18.3 (q)	18.1 (q)	18.6 (q)		
MeO	61.8 (q)	61.0 (q)	60.6 (q)		
Glc ⁴ C(1'''')			106.0 (d)		
C(2"")			75.8 (d)		
C(3'''')			78.6 (d)		
C(4"")			71.9 (d)		
C(5'''') C(6'''')			78.1 (d)		
			63.0 (t)		

a) ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR, DEPT, ¹H, ¹H-COSY, HMQC, and HMBC data were obtained at 500 and 125 MHz at room temperature, respectively. Multiplicities by DEPT experiments.

Table 2. ¹*H-NMR Data* ((D₅)pyridine) of Compounds **1–5**. δ in ppm, *J* in Hz.

Н	1	2	3	4	5
H-C(3)	3.90 (m)	3.87 (m)	3.92 (m)	3.93 (m)	3.91 (m)
H-C(6)	5.36 (br. s)	5.37 (br. s)	5.33 (br. s)	5.42 (br. s)	5.35 (br. s)
H-C(12)	3.97 (dd,	3.96(m)	4.99 (dd,	3.98 (dd,	5.00 (dd,
	J = 11.5, 4.0		J = 11.5, 4.0	J = 11.5, 4.0	J = 11.5, 4.0
Me(18)	2.04(s)	2.02(s)	1.94 (s)	2.04 (s)	1.97 (s)
Me(19)	1.41 (s)	1.41 (s)	1.35 (s)	1.49(s)	1.43(s);
Me(21)	2.66(s)	2.66 (s)	2.50(s)	2.68(s)	2.51(s)
AcO-C(12)	_	_	2.09(s)	_	2.10(s)
$Cym^1 H - C(1')$	5.31 (d, J = 9.5)	5.30 (d, J = 10)	5.30 (d, J = 9.5)		
H-C(3')	4.07(m)	4.09(m)	4.04(m)		
H-C(4')	3.50 (dd, J = 9.5, 2.5)	3.50 (dd, J = 9.5, 2.5)	3.52 (dd, J = 10.0, 2.5)		
H-C(5')	4.22(m)	4.23 (dq, J = 9.5, 6.5)	4.22 (m)		
Me(6')	1.35 $(d, J=7.0)$	1.39 (d, J = 6.0)	1.40 (d, J = 6.0)		
MeO	3.61 (s)	3.63 (s)	3.63 (s)		
$Cym^2 H - C(1'')$	5.14 (d, J = 9.5)	5.13 (d, J=10)	5.14 (d, J = 10.0)		
H-C(3'')	$4.10 \ (m)$	4.08(m)	4.09(m)		
H-C(4'')	3.57 (dd, J = 9.5, 2.5)	3.60 (dd, J = 10.0, 2.0)	3.85 (dd, J = 11.5, 5.0)		
H-C(5'')	4.23(m)	4.25 (dq, J = 9.5, 6.5)	4.19(m)		
Me(6")	1.57 (d, J = 6.5)	1.63 (d, J = 6.0)	1.82 (d, J = 6.0)		
MeO	3.60(s)	3.58(s)	3.59(s)		
Carb ³	AllMe	Thv	AllMe		
H-C(1''')	5.16 (d, J = 9.5)	4.80 (d, J = 10)	5.17 (dd, J = 10.5, 2.5)		
H-C(2''')	3.93(m)	3.95(m)	3.87 (t, J = 9.0)		
H-C(3''')	4.10 (m)	3.63(m)	3.75 (t, J = 8.0)		
H-C(4''')	3.64(m)	3.65(m)	3.55 (dd, J = 9.5, 2.5)		
H-C(5''')	4.18(m)	3.76 (dq, J = 8.5, 6.0)	3.79 (dq, J = 6.0, 3.0)		
Me(6"")	1.52 (d, J = 6.5)	1.62 (d, J = 6.0)	1.59 (d, J = 6.0)		
MeO	3.88(s)	3.93(s)	3.96(s)		
Glc ⁴ H-C(1"")			4.73 (d, J = 7.5)		
H-C(2'''')			3.83 (m)		
H-C(3"")			4.28 (m)		
H-C(4"")			4.22 (m)		
H-C(5"")			4.09(m)		
$CH_2(6'''')$			4.38(m),		
			4.55 (d, J = 7.5)		

Stemucronatosides B (2) was isolated as an amorphous powder, and showed positive *Liebermann–Buchard* and *Keller–Kiliani* reactions indicating the presence of a steroidal and 2-deoxysugar(s) moieties in the molecule. It has the same molecular formula, $C_{42}H_{68}O_{16}$, as 1, as established by quasimolecular ion peak at m/z 851.6 ([M+Na]⁺) in the EI-MS, the 1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectra, and the 1H -detected HMQC experiment. The EI-MS of 2 also displayed other prominent fragments at m/z 867.4 ([M+K]⁺), 691.3 ([M+Na-160]⁺), and 547.2 ([M+Na-160-144]⁺). Its IR spectrum showed OH (3510 cm⁻¹), C=O (1690 cm⁻¹), olefinic (1646 cm⁻¹), and C-O-C (1085 cm⁻¹) groups. The assignments of the 1H - and ^{13}C -NMR signals of 2 were successfully carried out with 1H , 1H -COSY, HMQC, and HMBC experiments (*Tables 1* and 2). On the basis of its spectroscopic data, comparison with those of compound 1, and the results of acid hydrolysis, compound 2 was identified as 12-O-deacetylmetaplexigenin 3-[$O-\beta$ -D-thevetopyranosyl-($1 \rightarrow 4$)- $O-\beta$ -D-cymaropyranosyl-($1 \rightarrow 4$)-O-cymaropyranosyl-($1 \rightarrow 4$)-O-cymaropyranosyle].

The 13 C-NMR and DEPT spectra (125 MHz, (D₅)pyridine) of compound **2** allowed the attribution of 42 C-signals to 9 Me, 9 CH₂, 17 CH, and 7 quaternary C-atoms. The 1 H-(500 MHz) and 13 C-NMR data for the aglycone moiety of **2** were similar to those for the aglycone moiety of **1**, indicating that **2** should also be 12-*O*-deacetylmetaplexigenin 3-*O*-trioside. The NMR (1 H- and 13 C-NMR, DEPT, HMQC, and HMBC) data of **2** showed that it contained three anomeric C-signals at δ 95.8, 99.9, and 105.7, correlating with anomeric protons at δ 5.30, 5.13, and 4.80 (each d, d = 10 Hz, 1 H), respectively, which indicated the presence of three sugar units in **2**.

On mild acid hydrolysis, compound **2** gave 12-*O*-deacetylmetaplexigenin (**4**), D-cymarose, and an unidentified sugar (on TLC). The HMBC and 1 H, 1 H COSY experiments allowed the sequential assignments of the δ (C) and δ (H) for the unidentified sugar as shown in *Tables 1* and 2, starting from the anomeric proton and C-signal at δ 4.80 (d, J = 10.0 Hz) and 105.7. Those findings suggested that the unidentified sugar (detected on TLC) was β -D-thevetose because its NMR data were similar to those in other compounds [9]. As the 1 H-NMR spectrum of **2** exhibited three MeO s at 3.58, 3.63, and 3.93, the sugar moiety of **2** consisted of two cymarose and one thevetose units. The coupling constant of each sugar indicated that these sugars had β -D-glycosidic linkages. In the HMBC spectrum, significant correlations were observed between H-C(1') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 5.30, d J = 10 Hz) and C(3) of the aglycone (δ 77.2), H-C(1'') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 5.13, d, J = 10 Hz) and C(4') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 82.9), and H-C(1''') of the β -D-thevetopyranose (δ 4.80, d, J = 10 Hz) and C(4'') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 82.5), establishing the sugar sequence O-D-thevetosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-D-cymarosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-D-cymaroside attached at C(3) of the aglycone.

Stemucronatosides C (3) was isolated as an amorphous powder that showed positive *Liebermann–Buchard* and *Keller–Kiliani* reactions indicating the presence of a steroidal and 2-deoxysugar moieties in the molecule. It has the molecular formula $C_{50}H_{80}O_{22}$ as deduced from the EI-MS (m/z at 1055.6 ($[M+Na]^+$)) and 13 C-NMR data. The EI-MS of 3 exhibited other prominent fragment-ion peaks at m/z 995.5 ($[M+Na-60]^+$) and 833.4 ($[M+Na-60-162]^+$). The IR spectrum showed OH (3510), C=O (1690 cm⁻¹), olefinic (1646 cm⁻¹), and C-O-C (1080) groups. The assignments of the 1 H- and 13 C-NMR signals of 3 were successfully carried out with 1 H, 1 H-COSY, HMQC, and HMBC experiments ($Tables\ 1$ and 2). On the basis of spectroscopic data, comparison with those of compound 5, and the results of acid hydrolysis, the structure of 3 was assigned as metaplexigenin $3-[O-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \to 4)-O-6-deoxy-3-O-methyl-\beta-D-allopyranosyl-(1 <math>\to 4$)-O- β -D-cymaropyranoside].

The 13 C-NMR and DEPT spectra (125 MHz,(D₅)pyridine) of **3** allowed the attribution of 50 C-signals to 10 Me, 10 CH₂, 22 CH, and 8 quaternary C-atoms. The 1 H- (500 MHz) and 13 C-NMR data for the aglycone moiety of **3** were similar to those of metaplexigenin (**5**), the major difference being the absence of signals for an OH group at C(3). The only other difference in the 13 C-NMR data between **3** and **5** occurred for the atoms C(2), C(3), and C(4): C(2) and C(4) of **3** were shifted upfield by 2.0 and 3.9 ppm, respectively, and C(3) of **3** was shifted downfield by 6.3 ppm in comparison with the corresponding signals of **5**. The NMR (1 H- and 13 C-NMR, DEPT, HMQC, and HMBC) data of **3** showed that it contained four anomeric C-signals at δ 96.4, 100.4, 104.8, and 106.0, correlating with anomeric protons at δ 5.30 (d, J = 9.5 Hz), 5.14 (d, J = 10.0 Hz), 5.17 (dd, J = 10.5, 2.5 Hz), and 4.73 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), respectively, which indicated the presence of four sugar units in **3**. Thus, compound **3** was believed to be a metaplexigenin 3-O-tetraside.

Acid hydrolysis of **3** afforded metaplexigenin (**5**), cymarose, allomethylose (=6-deoxyallose), and glucose as the aglycone and the sugar moieties. 13 C-NMR Comparison of **3** with **2** showed a glycosylation shift of +8.5 ppm for C(4) of 6-deoxy-3-O-methylallose in **3** [13] [14], indicating that the 4-O should be glucosylated. The coupling constant of each sugar moiety indicated that β -D-glycosidic linkages were present. The sugar sequence of **3** was confirmed by the HMBC spectrum, which showed prominent cross-peaks for H-C(1'') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 5.30, d, J = 9.5 Hz) to C(3) of the aglycone (δ 77.6), H-C(1'') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 5.14, d, J = 10.0 Hz) to C(4') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 83.3), H-C(1''') of the 6-deoxy-3-O-methyl- β -D-allopyranose (δ 5.17, dd, J = 10.5, 2.5 Hz) to C(4'') of the β -D-cymaropyranose (δ 82.9), and H-C(1''') of the β -D-glucopyranose (δ 4.73, d, d = 7.5 Hz) to C(4''') of the 6-deoxy-3-O-methyl- β -D-allopyranose (δ 83.1). Thus, the sugar sequence was established as O-D-glucosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-D-6-deoxy-3-O-methyl-D-allosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-D-cymarosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-D-cymaroside attached at C(3) of the aglycone.

The immunomodulating activities of compounds 1-3 were determined *in vitro* against concanavalin-A- and lipopolysaccharide-induced (Con-A- and LPS-induced) proliferation of mice splenocytes by means of the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2*H*-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) colorimetric assay [15] and shown in *Table 3*. Compounds 1-3 significantly enhanced the Con-A- and LPS-induced mice splenocyte proliferation at the concentrations of $0.01-100.0~\mu g/ml$. The concentration-effect proliferation relationship seems to be bell-shaped.

Table 3. Effect of Three Compounds on in vitro Mitogen-Induced Mice Splend	ocyte Proliferation ^a)
--	------------------------------------

Concentration [µg/ml]	Mitogen	1	2	3
0.00	ConA		2.047 ± 0.058	_
0.01		2.540 ± 0.041 ***	$2.240 \pm 0.066**$	$2.412 \pm 0.124**$
0.10		$2.602 \pm 0.091 ***$	$2.566 \pm 0.035***$	$2.671 \pm 0.098***$
1.00		$2.681 \pm 0.040 ***$	$2.672 \pm 0.030 ***$	$2.534 \pm 0.053***$
10.0		$2.600 \pm 0.032 ***$	$2.835 \pm 0.085***$	$2.387 \pm 0.102**$
100.0		$2.566 \pm 0.042 ***$	$2.656 \pm 0.125***$	$2.285 \pm 0.068**$
0.00	LPS		1.542 ± 0.059	
0.01		$1.859 \pm 0.046 ***$	$1.763 \pm 0.077**$	$1.793 \pm 0.053**$
0.10		$1.939 \pm 0.078***$	$1.954 \pm 0.065 ***$	$1.822 \pm 0.045 ***$
1.00		$1.955 \pm 0.039***$	$1.962 \pm 0.054 ***$	$1.799 \pm 0.045 ***$
10.0		$1.910 \pm 0.042 ***$	$1.877 \pm 0.040 ***$	$1.725 \pm 0.037**$
100.0		$1.652 \pm 0.038*$	$1.830 \pm 0.075**$	$1.708 \pm 0.028**$

a) Splenocytes were cultured with the various concentrations of these compounds and Con A (final concentration 5 µg/ml) or LPS (final concentration 10 µg/ml) for 48 h. Cellular proliferation was measured by the MTT method as described in the text, and shown as a stimulation index. The values are presented as means \pm standard error (n=4). Significant differences with 0 µg/ml were designated as *(P<0.05), **(P<0.01), and ***(P<0.001).

We gratefully acknowledge the *Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China* Grant-in Aid No. M303749) and *Zhejiang Provincial Science and Technology Council* (Grant-in Aid No. 2004F13G1360002) for financial support.

Experimental Part

General. TLC: precoated silica gel 60 F_{254} plates and Rp C_{28} (Merck); detection by spraying with 10% H₂SO₄ followed by heating. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (200–300 mesh; Qingdao), Rp- C_{18} silica gel (40–63 μm, Merck), and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia). IR Spectra: KBr pellets; Perkin-Elmer-577 spectrometer; in cm⁻¹. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR, DEPT, ¹H, ¹H-COSY, HMQC and HMBC Spectra: Bruker-DRX-500 instrument; at 500 (¹H) and 125 MHz (¹³C); SiMe₄ as internal standard in (D₅)pyridine. EI-MS: Bruker-Esquire-3000^{plus} mass spectrometer.

Plant Material. The roots of Stephanotis mucronata were obtained from Yueqing, Zhejiang province, China. A voucher specimen (No. 200309) was identified by Prof. Zhang Zhi-Guo and deposited in the Laboratory of Natural Products Chemistry, Institute of Materia Medica, Zhejiang Academy of Medical Sciences, Hangzhou, China.

Extraction and Isolation Procedures. The dried roots of Stephanotis mucronata (10 kg) were ground and extracted three times with 95% EtOH under reflux for 2 h. The extracts were evaporated. This EtOH extract was extracted with CHCl₃ under reflux, and a yellow residue (520 g) was obtained on evaporation of the CHCl₃ extract. The residue was subjected to CC (silica gel, gradient CHCl₃/MeOH $100:0 \rightarrow 2:1$): 10 main fractions. Fr. 3 (12 g) was subjected to CC (Rp- C_{18} , MeOH/H₂O 1:1; then Sephadex LH-20, MeOH): 1 (170 mg). Fr. 4 (9 g) was subjected to CC (Rp- C_{18} and Sephadex LH-20): 2 (713 mg). Fr. 6 (25 g) was separated by CC (Rp- C_{18} and Sephadex LH-20): 3 (245 mg).

Stemucronatosides $A = (3\beta,12\beta,14\beta,17\alpha)-3-\{[O-6-Deoxy-3-O-methyl-\beta-D-allopyranosyl-(1 \to 4)-O-2,6-dideoxy-3-O-methyl-β-D-ribo-hexopyranosyl-(1 \to 4)-2,6-dideoxy-3-O-methyl-β-D-ribo-hexopyranosyl]oxy]-3,8,12,14,17-pentahydroxypregn-5-en-20-one; 1): Amorphous powder. IR (KBr): 3510, 1690, 1646, 1080. <math>^{1}$ H- and 13 C-NMR: Tables 1 and 2. EI-MS (pos.): 851.5 ($[M+Na]^{+}$), 867.4 ($[M+K]^{+}$), 691.3 ($[M+Na-AllMe]^{+}$), 547.2 ($[M+Na-AllMe-Cym]^{+}$).

Stemucronatosides $B = (3\beta,12\beta,14\beta,17\alpha)-3-\{[O\text{-}6\text{-}Deoxy\text{-}3\text{-}O\text{-}methyl\text{-}\beta\text{-}D\text{-}glucopyranosyl\text{-}}(1\to 4)\text{-}O\text{-}2,6\text{-}dideoxy\text{-}3\text{-}O\text{-}methyl\text{-}\beta\text{-}D\text{-}ribo\text{-}hexopyranosyl\text{-}}(1\to 4)-2,6\text{-}dideoxy\text{-}3\text{-}O\text{-}methyl\text{-}\beta\text{-}D\text{-}ribo\text{-}hexopyranosyl\text{-}}loxy\text{-}3,8,12,14,17\text{-}pentahydroxypregn-}5\text{-}en-20\text{-}one; \mathbf{2}): Amorphous powder. IR (KBr): 3510, 1690, 1646, 1085. ^{1}H- and $^{1}\text{C-NMR}$: Tables 1 and 2. EI-MS (pos.): 851.6 ([M+Na]^+), 867.4 ([M+K]^+), 691.3 ([M+Na-Thv]^+), 547.2 ([M+Na-Thv-Cym]^+).$

Stemucronatosides $C = (3\beta,12\beta,14\beta,17\alpha)-12-(Acetyloxy)-3-\{[O-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-6-deoxy-3-O-methyl-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,6-dideoxy-3-O-methyl-\beta-D-ribo-hexopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,6-dideoxy-3-O-methyl-\beta-D-ribo-hexopyranosyl]oxy]-3,8,14,17-tetrahydroxypregn-5-en-20-one;$ **3** $): Amorphous powder. IR (KBr): 3510, 1690, 1646, 1080. <math>^{1}$ H- and 13 C-NMR: *Tables 1* and 2. EI-MS (pos.): 1055.6 ([M + Na $^{-}$ + Na $^{-}$ + Na $^{-}$ - MeCOOH $^{-}$ +), 833.4 ([M + Na $^{-}$ - MeCOOH $^{-}$ Glc $^{+}$).

Acidic Hydrolysis of Glycosides 1-3. To a soln. of each compound (30 mg) in MeOH (10 ml) was added $0.1 \text{N H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (10 ml). The soln. was kept at 60° for 2 h, then diluted with H_2O (20 ml), and concentrated to 30 ml. The soln. was kept at 60° for a further hour and then neutralized with sat. aq. Ba(OH)₂ soln. The precipitation was filtered off, the filtrate evaporated, and the residue subjected to CC (silica gel, CHCl₃/MeOH $100:1 \rightarrow 50:1$): 4 (12 mg and 10.5 mg from 1 and 2, resp.) or 5 (15 mg from 3). The sugar components in each hydrolysate were identified by TLC comparison with authentic samples: R_f of D-cymarose 0.42 (CHCl₃/MeOH 9:1) and 0.35 (Me₂CO/petroleum ether 2:3).

12-O-Deacetylmetaplexigenin (= $(3\beta,12\beta,14\beta,17\alpha)$ -3,8,12,14,17-Pentahydroxypregn-5-en-20-one; **4**): Colorless needles. IR (KBr): 3510, 1690. 1 H- and 13 C-NMR: Tables 1 and 2. EI-MS (pos.): 403.1 ([M+Na] $^{+}$).

Metaplexigenin (= $(3\beta,12\beta,14\beta,17\alpha)$ -12-(Acetyloxy)-3,8,14,17-tetrahydroxypregn-5-en-20-one; **5**): Colorless needles. IR (KBr): 3510, 1690. 1 H- and 13 C-NMR: Tables 1 and 2. EI-MS (pos.): 445.1 ([M + Na] $^{+}$).

Splenocyte Proliferation Assay. Single-cell suspensions were prepared as previously described [15]. Splenocytes were seeded into four wells of a 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plate (Nunc) at a cell density of 1×10^7 per l in 100 μ l of complete medium where 100 μ l of 1-3 (0.01–100 μ g/ml), and Con A (final concentration 5 mg·l⁻¹), LPS (final concentration 10 mg·l⁻¹), or medium were then added. The plate was incubated at 37° in a humid atmosphere with 5% CO₂. After 44 h, 50 μ l of MTT solution (2 g·l⁻¹) was added to each well and incubated for 4 h. The microtiter plates were centrifuged (1400 \times g, 5 min), and the untransformed MTT was removed carefully by pipetting. To each well, 200 μ l of a Me₂SO working soln. (192 μ l of Me₂SO with 8 μ l of HCl 1 mol·l⁻¹) was added, and the absorbance (A) was evaluated in an ELISA reader at 570 nm with a 630 nm reference after 15 min.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Christtiane, R. Klaus, B. Eberhard, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1993, 10, 1057.
- [2] R. Hanada, F. Abe, Y. Mori, T. Yamauchi, Phytochemistry 1992, 31, 3547.
- [3] S. Q. Luo, L. Z. Lin, G. A. Cordell, L. Xue, M. E. Johnson, *Phytochemistry* **1993**, 34, 1615.
- [4] Y. Kaushman, D. Green, C. Garcia, A. D. Garcia, J. Nat. Prod. 1991, 54, 1651.
- [5] Q. Z. Mu, J. Lu, Q. Zhou, Sci Sin. Ser. B 1987, 29, 295 (Chem. Abstr. 1987, 106, 81545).
- [6] J. L. Yuan, W. P. Ding, J. P. Shi, G. X. Chen, Acta Universitatis Medicinae TngJi 1991, 20, 81.
- [7] R. S. Zhang, Y. Y. Ye, X. Y. Li, X. Y. Zhang, Acta Chimica Sinica 2003, 61, 1991.
- [8] S. Tsukamoto, K. Hayashi, H. Mitsuhashi, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 2252.
- [9] K. Yoshikawa, N. Okada, Y. Kann, S. Arihara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1996, 44, 1790.
- [10] K. Yoshikawa, K. Matsuchika, S. Arihara, H. C. Chang, J. D. Wang, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1998, 46, 1239.
- [11] T. Nakagawa, K. Hayashi, K. Wada, H. Mitsuhashi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 5431.
- [12] R. Vleggaar, F. R. van Heerden, L. A. P. Anderson, G. L. Erasmus, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 483.
- [13] R. Kasai, M. Ogihara, J. Asakawa, K. Mizutani, O. Tanaka, Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 1427.
- [14] K. A. Ei Sayed, A. F. Halm, A. M. Zaghoul, J. D. Mechesney, M. P. Stone, M. Voeler, K. Hayashi, Phytochemistry 1995, 39, 403.
- [15] U. Wagner, E. Burkhardt, K. Failing, Vet. Immunol. Immunophathol. 1999, 70, 151.